






APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,558	02/07/2001	Pang-Chia Lu	10251	4395
759	90 01/17/2003			
ExxonMobil Chemical Company			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 2149 Baytown, TX 77522			NGUYEN, KIMBERLY T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	11
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,)		mk-ll			
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/778,558	LU ET AL.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kimberly T. Nguyen	1774			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 06 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a the places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T date on which the petition under 37 CF extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply	rg date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	CFR 1.704(b).				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s)·				
_	· /				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		·			
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
					
6. Patent and Trademark Office					



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Newberry shows silica and alumina are added to an image layer comprising gelatin and polyvinyl alcohol which shows that the image layer is inherently porous since it has the same components as Applicants' coating layer (b). Layers with these components are well known and are conventional in the art. Further, the generally closed celled voids in column 4, lines 34-37 describe the voids in the packaging sheet core.

SUPERIOR OF THE TEACHER

Contrib Keef